

The Cavendish Invitational

Editor: Donna Compton

Articles Editor: Phillip Alder

Zimmermann Team Leads 2010 John Roberts Teams

The 2010 Cavendish Invitational tournament began yesterday with a wonderful breakfast near the pool area. There was more than enough to keep someone with the keenest of appetites happy.

I wonder if anyone has considered studying the effect of food (and alcohol!) on bridge players. No doubt it would be inconclusive. However, a friend of mine claims that if you eat in the middle of a round of golf, you will add between two and four shots to your score.

Once the John Roberts Teams got underway, the winners of the Vanderbilt Knockout Teams two months ago, Pierre Zimmermann, Franck Multon, Michel and Thomas Bessis, Geir Helgemo and Tor Helness, took the lead after Round 3 and retained it, so that with one session — three rounds — to play today, they lead by 9 victory points from Daniel Zagorin, Kevin Bathurst, Bart Bramley and Nikolay Demirev. Third, 7 vps behind, are John Diamond, Brian Platnick, Zia Mahmood and Jeff Meckstroth.

Interestingly, only one team won five of its six matches, the 't. Onstein team of Sjoert Brink, Bas Drijver, Bauke Muller and Simon De Wijs. But they are in fourth place, 22 vps off the pace. To whom did they lose? Zimmermann, by 26-4 — those 22 vps.

JOHN ROBERTS TEAM RESULTS AFTER 6 (of 9) ROUNDS

- 1 **ZIMMERMANN, Pierre (132)** Franck Multon-Michel Bessis-Thomas Bessis-Geir Helgemo-Tor Helness
- 2 **BATHURST, KEVIN (123)** Daniel Zagorin-Bart Bramley-Nikolay Demirev
- 3 **DIAMOND, John (116)** Brian Platnick-Jeff Meckstroth-Zia Mahmood
- 4 **'t ONSTEIN (110)** Sjoert Brink-Bas Drijver-Simon De Wijs-Bauke Muller
- 5 **DEUTSCH, Seymon (100)** John Kranyak-Billy Cohen-Ron Smith-Curtis Cheek-Joe Grue
- 6 **MAHAFFEY, Jim (95)** Mike Passell-Sam Lev-Jacek Pszczola-Jack Zhao-Fu Zhong
- 7 **DE KNIJFF, Martin (91)** Frederic Wrang-Bjorn Fallenius-Peter Fredin
- 8 **CAPUCHO, Manuel (87)** Maria Capucho-Huub Bertens-John Mohan-Gary Cohler-Kit Woolsey
- 9 **ZALESKI, Romain (83)** Paul Chemla-Chris Compton-Bob Hamman
- 10 **WELLAND, Roy (73)** Chris Willenken-Christal Henner-Welland-Michael Rosenberg-Josef Piekarek-Alex Smirnov
- 11 **O'ROURKE, Lou Ann (69)** Marc Jacobus-Geoff Hampson-Eric Greco-Bobby Levin-Steve Weinstein
- 12 **FAIGENBAUM, Albert (68)** Dominique Pilon-Marc Bompis-Jean-Christophe Quantin
- 13 **KASLE, Gaylor (59)** Lew Finkel-Drew Casen-Jim Krekorian-Russ Ekeblad-Jan Jansma
- 14 **BLANCHARD, Robert (54)** Shane Blanchard-Bruce Rogoff-Louk Verhees

2010 Cavendish Invitational Pairs

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. Curtis Cheek - Joe Grue | 26. Michael Elinescu - Entschow Wladow |
| 2. Sjoert Brink - Bas Drijver | 27. Christal Henner-Welland - Michael Rosenberg |
| 3. Fred Gitelman - Brad Moss | 28. Gary Cohler - Eric Robinson |
| 4. Jack Zhao - Fu Zhong | 29. Boye Brogeland - Artur Malinowski |
| 5. Eric Greco - Geoff Hampson | 30. Sam Lev - Jacek Pszczola |
| 6. Mark Lair - Roger Lord | 31. Nicolas L'Ecuyer - Jim Krekorian |
| 7. Seymon Deutsch - John Kranyak | 32. Steve Garner - Zia Mahmood |
| 8. Franck Multon - Pierre Zimmermann | 33. Albert Faigenbaum - Dominique Pilon |
| 9. Hamish Bennett - Billy Miller | 34. Glenn Grotheim - Ulf Tundal |
| 10. Ashley Bach - Michael Cornell | 35. Robert Levin - Steve Weinstein |
| 11. Bart Bramley - Nikolay Demirev | 36. Michel Bessis - Thomas Bessis |
| 12. Paul Chemla - Romain Zaleski | 37. Huub Bertens - John Mohan |
| 13. Robert Blanchard - Shane Blanchard | 38. John Diamond - Brian Platnick |
| 14. Martin DeKnijff - Frederic Wrang | 39. This space intentionally left blank |
| 15. Billy Cohen - Ron Smith | 40. This space intentionally left blank |
| 16. Josef Piekarek - Alex Smirnov | 41. This space intentionally left blank |
| 17. Hemant Lall - Justin Lall | 42. Perry Johnson - Jeff Meckstroth |
| 18. Steve Beatty - George Jacobs | 43. Ahmed Hussein - Eric Rodwell |
| 19. Manuel Capucho - Maria Capucho | 44. Michael Kamil - Michael Moss |
| 20. Chris Compton - Bob Hamman | 45. Simon DeWijs - Bauke Muller |
| 21. Chip Martel - Kit Woolsey | 46. Geir Helgemo - Tor Helness |
| 22. Gunnar Andersson - Marten Gustavsson | 47. This space intentionally left blank |
| 23. Bruce Rogoff - Louk Verhees | 48. Roy Welland - Chris Willenkin |
| 24. Russ Ekeblad - Jan Jansma | 49. Marc Bompis - Jean-Christophe Quantin |
| 25. Bjorn Fallenius - Peter Fredin | 50. Drew Casen - Mike Passell |

2010 World Bridge Production Pairs

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. Mike Cappelletti, Jr. - Jim Mahaffey | 10. Leo Bell - John Jones |
| 2. Marc Jacobus - Lou Ann O'Rourke | 11. Josh Donn - Jason Feldman |
| 3. Cunningham - Frank Treiber | 12. Howard Parker - David Siebert |
| 4. Michael McNamara - Sylvia McNamara | 13. Reese Milner - TBA |
| 5. Barry Schaffer - Colby Vernay | 14. Fred Hamilton - TBA |
| 6. Kerri Sanborn - Steve Sanborn | 15. Gaylor Kasle - TBA |
| 7. Maggie Mohan - Miriam Rosenberg | 16. Bob Soni - Robert Todd |
| 8. Andrew Rosenthal - Aaron Silverman | 17. Marshall Miles - William Wickham |
| 9. Ross Taylor - David Colbert | 18. This space intentionally left blank |

Bridge Base Online

Follow all of the action LIVE via Bridge Base Online Vugraph.
World class commentary and lots of good bridge. www.bridgebase.com

Breakfast by the Pool



No Electronic Devices in the Playing Area

Absolutely no electronic devices capable of sending or receiving signals (other than hearing aids) may be brought into the playing rooms under any circumstances. This applies to kibitzers as well as players. You may leave your electronic devices at the check-in table; however, WBP will not be held responsible for lost items.

Appeals Announcement

Appeals of tournament directors' rulings (in all events) will be handled in the following manner. Any director's ruling will be reconsidered (at the request of either side) by a timely filing for such consideration with the Director in Charge. Said request for reconsideration shall be in writing and must set forth in sufficient detail the reason(s) why the filing party believes that the ruling was incorrect.

Upon receipt of a request for reconsideration, the tournament directing staff, along with whomever else the staff wishes to consult, will reconsider the ruling and render a decision. The directors may request a hearing when there are facts in dispute, but are not required to do so.

John Roberts Teams — First Session

by Phillip Alder

To start, here are three problems from the opening session.

You are South, with only your side vulnerable, holding:

♠ 10 9 2
♥ Q 6
♦ A 9 5 3 2
♣ K 9 2

	West	North	East	South
	1♥	2♠ (a)	3♥	??
			Pass	Pass

(a) Intermediate

What would you do?

Board 11
Dir: South
Vul: None

North
♠ Q 7 6 5 2
♥ A J 7 5 2
♦ A 10 4
♣ —

South
♠ 10 9
♥ Q
♦ K Q J 7 5 3
♣ A J 10 2

	West	North	East	South
		1♠		1♦
	Pass	4♣ (a)	Pass	2♦
	Pass	5♣ (c)	Pass	4♥ (b)
	Pass	Pass	Pass	5♦

- (a) Splinter bid
- (b) Control-bid
- (c) Void

West leads the spade ace and cashes the spade king, East playing eight-four using upside-down signals. Then West shifts to the heart four. How would you continue?

Board 18
Dir: East
Vul: N-S

North
♠ A Q 9 6
♥ 5
♦ K 6 3
♣ Q 6 5 4 2

South
♠ —
♥ A Q 8 7 3 2
♦ A Q J 8 4
♣ A 7

You barrel into six diamonds. West leads the spade four. What would be your plan?

For the first match, I watched Billy Cohen and Ron Smith take on Bobby Levin and Steve Weinstein.

The first board was unexciting. This was the second:

Board 2
Dir: East
Vul: N-S

North
♠ A K Q 8 7 3
♥ K 4 2
♦ J 7
♣ J 10

	West	East
	♠ J 4	♠ 6 5
	♥ A J 10 8 3	♥ 9 7 5
	♦ K 6	♦ Q 10 8 4
	♣ 8 7 6 4	♣ A Q 5 3

South
♠ 10 9 2
♥ Q 6
♦ A 9 5 3 2
♣ K 9 2

	West	North	East	South
	Cohen	Levin	Smith	Weinstein
		2♠ (a)	Pass	Pass
	1♥		3♥	4♠
	Pass	Pass	Pass	

(a) Intermediate

I thought Weinstein was going to make a game-try double, but after some thought he jumped to the vulnerable game.

Smoking Policy

Smoking is not allowed in the hotel.
Smoking is allowed in the casino area and outside.

East led the heart nine, ducked to declarer's king. North immediately led his club ten, East winning with his ace and playing another heart. West won with his ace and shifted to a trump. Declarer won that in his hand, ruffed his last heart in the dummy, drew trumps and made his contract.

At the other table, North-South stopped in two spades, so Lou Ann O'Rourke's team gained 10 international match points.

This was the next deal:

Board 3	North			
Dlr: South	♠ Q 2			
Vul: E-W	♥ K Q 9			
	♦ A J 9 4			
	♣ K Q 9 3			
	West	East	South	
	♠ 10 9 7	♠ 8 5 4	♠ A K J 6 3	
	♥ 7 5	♥ J 10 8 4	♥ A 6 3 2	
	♦ 8 7 2	♦ Q 10 3	♦ K 6 5	
	♣ A J 10 8 4	♣ 7 5 2	♣ 6	
	West	North	East	South
	Cohen	Levin	Smith	Weinstein
	Pass	2♣	Pass	1♠
	Pass	3NT	Pass	2♥
	Pass	6NT	All Pass	4NT

After a quantitative auction, West led the heart ten, his partnership's lead from that holding.

Levin could see ten top tricks and an eleventh in clubs. He had numerous chances for a twelfth.

He took the first trick with his king and led the club king, West taking his ace and returning a heart, covered by the nine and jack.

Levin played a heart to his queen, cashed the club queen, discarding a diamond from the dummy, and ran the spades to reach this position:

Pen Only

All explanations shall be written in pen only.
Please, no pencils for any written communication.

	North	
	♠ —	
	♥ —	
	♦ A J 9	
	♣ 9	
West		East
♠ —		♠ —
♥ —		♥ 8
♦ 8 7 2		♦ Q 10
♣ J		♣ 7
	South	
	♠ 6	
	♥ 6	
	♦ K 6	
	♣ —	

On the last spade, West threw a diamond perforce, and North and East pitched clubs.

Declarer cashed dummy's diamond king, then led a diamond toward his hand. Had West begun with 3=2=4=4 distribution and East with 3=4=2=4? Deciding that they had, North finessed his diamond jack and lost the last two tricks for down two.

At the other table, Marc Jacobus (West) led a diamond against six notrump by South, and declarer also misguessed to go down one, giving Seymon Deutsch's squad 2 imps.

The rest of the match featured accurate play by both pairs. At the other table, though, some errors left Deutsch ahead by 22 imps, which converted to 27-3 in victory points.

In round two, an all-European match was on Vu-Graph, between the De Knijff and Brink teams.

I went to watch Geir Helgemo and Tor Helness (on the Zimmermann) take on Russ Ekeblad and Jansma (on the Kastle team).

On the first board, Ekeblad and Jansma pushed into four spades.

When Ekeblad put down the dummy, he said, "Good luck, partner."

"I'm going to need it."

After some thought, Jansma said, "Perhaps the spades will be 2-2."

A pause, then: "The trouble is that we are missing five trumps."

There were no miracles and Jansma went down one for a flat board.

Three notrump would have made and was reached by Simon De Wijs and Bauke Muller. The Brink team gained 10 imps when Martin De Knijff and Frederic Wrang made two spades with an overtrick.

This was the second deal:

Board 11	North			
Dlr: South	♠ Q 7 6 5 2			
Vul: None	♥ A J 7 5 2			
	♦ A 10 4			
	♣ —			
	West		East	
	♠ A K J		♠ 8 4 3	
	♥ 8 6 4		♥ K 10 9 3	
	♦ 9 6 2		♦ 8	
	♣ 9 7 5 4		♣ K Q 8 6 3	
		South		
		♠ 10 9		
		♥ Q		
		♦ K Q J 7 5 3		
		♣ A J 10 2		
	West	North	East	South
	Jansma	Helness	Ekeblad	Helgemo
		1♠	Pass	1♦
	Pass	4♣ (a)	Pass	2♦
	Pass	5♣ (c)	Pass	4♥ (b)
	Pass	Pass	Pass	5♦

- (a) Splinter bid
- (b) Control-bid
- (c) Void

After two rounds of spades and a heart shift to dummy's ace, Helgemo thought for a very long time. He presumably analyzed ruffing three clubs in the dummy. But eventually he tried to ruff down the heart king, keeping spades 3-3 on the back burner.

He ruffed a heart in his hand, cashed the diamond king, played a diamond to dummy's ace, trumped a heart with his diamond jack, led a trump to dummy's ten, and cashed the spade queen with gratifying results.

The board was flat.

Helgemo's line of play was effectively duplicated by Fallenius on Vu-Graph to lose 2 imps against three notrump plus two.

Then came a board that was boring on Vu-Graph, both East-West pairs making four spades with two overtricks. In the Kastle-Zimmermann match the bidding got to the six-level in both rooms.

Board 12	North			
Dlr: West	♠ 8 7 6 3			
Vul: N-S	♥ 10 8 3			
	♦ Q J 9 8 3			
	♣ 2			
	West		East	
	♠ A 5		♠ K Q J 10 4 2	
	♥ A K 7 4		♥ J 9 5	
	♦ A 7 2		♦ —	
	♣ K Q J 9		♣ 7 6 5 4	
		South		
		♠ 9		
		♥ Q 6 2		
		♦ K 10 6 5 4		
		♣ A 10 8 3		
	West	North	East	South
	Jansma	Helness	Ekeblad	Helgemo
	1♣ (a)	Pass	2♥ (b)	Pass
	3♠	Pass	4♦ (c)	Pass
	4NT (d)	Pass	5♥ (e)	Pass
	6♠	Pass	Pass	Pass

- (a) Strong
- (b) Six-plus spades, 5-7 points
- (c) Control-bid
- (d) Modified Roman Key Card Blackwood
- (e) One key card and the spade queen

	West	North	East	South
	Multon	Finkel	Zimmermann	Kastle
	2♦ (a)	Pass	2♥ (b)	Pass
	2NT (c)	Pass	3♥ (d)	Pass
	3NT (e)	Pass	4♦ (f)	Pass
	4NT	Pass	5♠	Pass
	6♠	Pass	Pass	Pass

- (a) Multi-purpose
- (b) Relay
- (c) Balanced 22-plus points
- (d) Transfer
- (e) Doubleton spade
- (f) Read the text

At the first table, the transfer sequence put Helness (North) on lead. Naturally he chose his singleton club. Equally naturally, Helgemo won with his ace and returned the suit — down one.

In the other room, there was confusion over East's four-diamond bid. West wrote for South that it was natural. East wrote that it showed zero to five diamonds.

Gaylor Kasle (South) did well, leading the club ace. Then he knew that, unless partner had a natural trump trick, he had to give North a ruff at trick two. But in which minor would that ruff come?

Thinking East had diamond length, South naturally shifted to a diamond, after which declarer claimed, gaining 14 imps.

North-South called for the tournament director. But after due deliberation, the result was allowed to stand. It was felt that South had been given accurate information. East had got lucky with his unusual rebid. North, who had not been given a correct explanation, had had no bearing on the result.

Board 13 was a four-spade contract for North-South that required trumps 3-2 and the club ace onside. For declarer it was a lucky day. Helgemo and Helness did not get there, but Lew Finkel and Kasle did to gain 10 imps. Both pairs bid game on Vu-Graph for a flat board.

Then came an interesting competitive deal:

Board 14		North	
Dir: East		♠ 10 9 7 6	
Vul: None		♥ A K J 9 7 5	
		♦ J 8	
		♣ J	
West		East	
♠ A J 8 4		♠ K Q 2	
♥ 3		♥ 4	
♦ A K Q 7 6 5 3		♦ 10 9 4	
♣ 3		♣ K 10 9 7 6 4	
		South	
		♠ 5 3	
		♥ Q 10 8 6 2	
		♦ 2	
		♣ A Q 8 5 2	
West	North	East	South
Jansma	Helness	Ekeblad	Helgemo
		Pass	Pass
1♠ (a)	2♥	Dble (b)	4♦ (c)
5♦	Pass	Pass	5♥
Pass	Pass	Pass	

- (a) As you can see, perhaps canap,
- (b) A tolerance double, saying that East is happy for West to bid his longer minor
- (c) Splinter bid

West	North	East	South
Multon	Finkel	Zimmermann	Kasle
		Pass	Pass
1♦	2♥	Dble	4♥
4♠	Pass	Pass	5♣
5♦	Pass	Pass	5♥
Pass	Pass	5♠	All Pass

It is interesting that the Norwegians prefer a splinter bid to a four-club fit-showing jump, which would be the choice of many top American pairs.

Against five hearts, the defense took the first three tricks.

Against five spades, North led the club jack: king, ace, three. Now South erred, returning a low club. Franck Multon discarded his heart loser and could no longer be defeated.

Minus 50 and plus 450 gave Zimmermann 9 imps on the board.

On Vu-Graph the board was flat, five hearts doubled and down one at both tables.

The final board of the match was also very interesting.

Board 18		North	
Dir: East		♠ A Q 9 6	
Vul: N-S		♥ 5	
		♦ K 6 3	
		♣ Q 6 5 4 2	
West		East	
♠ K J 5 4		♠ 10 8 7 3 2	
♥ K J 9 4		♥ 10 6	
♦ 2		♦ 10 9 7 5	
♣ K 10 9 3		♣ J 8	
		South	
		♠ —	
		♥ A Q 8 7 3 2	
		♦ A Q J 8 4	
		♣ A 7	
West	North	East	South
Jansma	Helness	Ekeblad	Helgemo
		Pass	1♥
Pass	1♠	Pass	2♦ (a)
Pass	2NT (a)	Pass	3♥
Pass	3NT	Pass	6♦
Pass	Pass	Pass	

(a) Nonforcing

West	North	East	South
Multon	Finkel	Zimmermann	Kasle
Pass	1♠	Pass	1♥
Pass	3NT	All Pass	3♦

Kasle took the low road, passing out three notrump.

Note, though, that the Norwegians had three nonforcing bids, then bid a slam! When the tray came under the screen with six diamonds on it, Helness sat back in shock. Then he passed with a shrug of his shoulders.

Ekeblad asked about the auction.

Helness replied, "Yes, two diamonds was nonforcing, and two notrump was nonforcing. Three hearts was forcing, showing a good 6-4. He could have continued with a forcing four diamonds. Perhaps he has 13 red cards."

A trump lead would have defeated the slam, but that was tough to find.

When Jansma chose a low spade, Helgemo paused for some time. Then he called for dummy's queen and, when East could not cover with the king, discarded a heart. South continued with a heart to his ace, a heart ruff with dummy's diamond six, the spade ace for a club discard, a club to his ace, a heart ruff with the diamond king, a spade trumped in his hand (West playing the king), and a heart ruffed with the diamond three. East, who knew that West had a spade left, probably should have discarded. But it would not have helped. Helgemo would have ruffed a club in his hand and taken two spades, one heart, five diamonds, one club and three ruffs in the dummy.

When East overruffed and returned a spade, declarer trumped with his diamond eight and claimed.

Minus 600 and plus 1370 gave Zimmerman 13 imps and the match by 37 imps, a 30-0 blitz.

By the way, did you notice that if Helgemo had not called for the spade queen, he would have gone down? When he ruffs the third heart in the dummy, East discards and declarer has only 11 tricks.

On Vu-Graph, both Souths were in six diamonds.

De Wijs, knowing South had a big red two-suiter with a spade void, found the trump lead against six diamonds. Declarer went down two.

Brink received a spade lead and called for dummy's ace. After that, declarer ruffed the first heart low, the second high and the third low. De Knijff defended perfectly, not overruffing the third heart and taking the contract down one.

So Brink gained 3 imps and won the match by 7 imps or 20-10 in vps.

This was the best board of the third match:

Board 23	North
Dlr: South	♠ Q J 8 6
Vul: Both	♥ 10 9 6
	♦ A K Q 7 2
	♣ 7

West	East
♠ A 10 7 5 3	♠ K 9 4 2
♥ A Q 3 2	♥ J 8 7 4
♦ 8	♦ 6
♣ K 9 4	♣ A 10 3 2

South
♠ —
♥ K 5
♦ J 10 9 5 4 3
♣ Q J 8 6 5

West	North	East	South
Demirev	Zimmermann	Bramley	Multon
1♠	Pass	2NT (a)	3NT
4♣	5♦	Pass	Pass
Dble	Pass	Pass	Pass

(a) Usually, four-plus spades (but maybe three), game-invitational or stronger

West	North	East	South
Bessis T.	Zagorin	Bessis M.	Bathurst
1♠	Pass	3♣ (a)	Pass
4♣	Pass	Pass	Pass

(a) Usually Four-plus spades, game invitational

Despite the equal vulnerability, Multon decided to show his minor two-suiter. Now it was easy for Zimmermann to sacrifice in five diamonds, going down one.

Against four spades, Daniel Zagorin (North) led the diamond ace and continued with a second diamond, Thomas Bessis (West) ruffing in the dummy and discarding a club from his hand. Then declarer played a low spade to his ace, getting the bad news. But he led a club to dummy's ace, played a heart to his queen and cashed the heart ace. When the king dropped, West played on trumps to end with an overtrick.

Minus 200 and plus 650 gave Zimmermann 10 imps, but the match ended in an exact tie.

An Ending to Forget

By Sam Leckie

There is no doubt that the best player to come out of Scotland is Michael Rosenberg. It is a fact that after having played tournament bridge for a few months he was capped for Scotland, a feat that took me 13 years to achieve. When I look back on my bridge career, I suppose I reached my peak in 1970 when I represented Great Britain on two separate occasions. The first was the European Championship in Norway and the other was in the World Pairs in France. This latter occasion had an amusing ending. Great Britain had to nominate 5 pairs for the event and the British Bridge League allowed the Scottish Bridge Union to nominate a pair. At that time the late Victor Goldberg and I were flavor of the month and were chosen.

This was a great honour in itself, but the fact that the other 4 pairs were Reese/Flint, Konstam/Dodds, Rose/Gardener

and Preston/Swimer. All world-class pairs made it even more prestigious.

In the event itself, we had a great first session but unlike these days the results did not appear till the following morning. After a restless night I was up bright and early and headed to the venue to find out where we were placed. The results were posted on a huge board above the entrance hall. I was right about our performance, as we were lying fifth, well ahead of our teammates. As I basked in my moment of glory, Terence Reese and one of the organizers came in chatting and stood beside me, also studying the results. At one point the organizer turned to Reese and said, "Leckie-Goldberg in 5th place - I don't know them." After a few seconds delay, Reese replied, "I think their Polish."

John Roberts Teams — Second Session

by Phillip Alder

In Round 5, there were two nine-card heart suits. But, strangely, neither deal was particularly interesting. In Round 6, though, there was a nice piece of bidding by Helgemo and Helness.

Sam Leckie was watching at the right table when this deal was played:

Board 20
Dir: West
Vul: Both

West
♠ A Q 9 7 4
♥ J 2
♦ K 10 6 3
♣ 5 2

North
♠ K 5
♥ 10 9 8 6 4 3
♦ —
♣ A J 7 6 4

East
♠ 10 8 6
♥ 7
♦ A Q J 9 7
♣ Q 10 9 8

South
♠ J 3 2
♥ A K Q 5
♦ 8 5 4 2
♣ K 3

West	North	East	South
	Helgemo		Helness
Pass	Pass	Pass	1♥
Pass	4♦	Pass	4♥
Pass	4♠	Pass	6♥
Pass	Pass	Pass	

Helgemo started with a four-diamond splinter bid. Then, after Helness, unable to visualize a slam opposite a passed partner, signed off in four hearts, Helgemo was still there, control-bidding four spades. Now judging matters well, Helness jumped to six hearts.

As you can see, the play was not taxing, and it was another 13 imps to Zimmermann.

Leckie asked around and could not find another pair who got to six hearts.

**Cavendish Invitational Pairs
Dinner & Auction Tonight**
Grand Ballroom III-IV
Open Bar & Dinner at 6pm
Auction begins at 7pm
Formal Attire Requested

Schedule of Events

Thursday, May 6, 2010

10:30am	JR Teams 3 rd session	Estancia Ballroom	(3 9-board matches)
4:00pm	End of pre-bid period for CIP Auction		
6:00pm	Open Bar	Grand Ballroom III-IV	
6:15pm	Dinner		
7:00pm	Auction		

Friday, May 7, 2010

10:30am	CIP 1 st Session	Estancia Ballroom	(27 boards)
4:00pm	CIP 2 nd Session	Estancia Ballroom	(27 boards)

Saturday, May 8, 2010

9:00am	Breakfast	La Sirena (Grand Ballroom Level)	
9:30am	Auction, WBP Pairs		
10:30am	CIP 3 rd Session	Estancia Ballroom	(27 Boards)
	WBP Pairs 1 st Session	La Cascada	
4:00pm	CIP 3 rd Session	Estancia Ballroom	(27 boards)
	WBP Pairs 2 nd Session	La Cascada	

Sunday, May 9, 2010

10:30am	Final Session CIP	Estancia Ballroom	
TBA on SAT	Final Session WBP Pairs	La Cascada	
3:00pm	Closing Party	Lobby Bar & Terrace	

The world-wide standard in scoring

The Bridgemate II is the latest addition to the popular and widely-used Bridgemate Scoring System. Bridgemates are used by more than 2,500 clubs in 35+ countries; it is the premier scoring system of 25 national bridge organizations as well as the World Bridge Federation and European Bridge League. Built on a ten-year track record of proven reliability, Bridgemate II offers even more functionality and user-friendliness, all in a modern and slim design.

The Bridgemate keyboard has large keys which makes it easy to use. Each button clearly indicates its function. The display uses large characters to show texts.

Bridgemate.US 434-361-1397 www.bridgemate.us sales@bridgemate.us

